URGENT
EMAIL

No: R-22012/2/2017-Pen Riv-Part(2)
Government of India
Ministry of Jal Shakti
Department of Water Resources, RD & GR,
(Peninsular River Wing)
****

353-C, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi
Dated the 22nd October, 2020

Subject : Minutes of 2nd Meeting of Apex Council constituted under the Andhra Pradesh reorganisation Act, 2014 - Reg.

The undersigned is directed to enclose a copy of Minutes of 2nd Meeting of the Apex Council constituted under the Andhra Pradesh reorganisation Act, 2014, held on 06.10.2020 via video-conference under the Chairmanship of Hon'ble Minister of Jal Shakti for information and necessary action.

Encl. as above

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by A.C. MALLICK
Date: 2020.10.22 12:29:14 IST

(A. C. Mallick)
Under Secretary to the Govt of India
uspenriv-mowr@nic.in/ Tel- 23383059

To

1. Chief Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh
2. Chief Secretary, Government of Telangana
3. Chairman, Central Water Commission
4. Chairman, Godavari River Management Board
5. Chairman, Krishna River Management Board
6. PS to Hon'ble Minister of State (Jal Shakti)
7. Joint Secretary (Admin), DoWR,RD&GR
8. Senior Joint Commissioner (BM), DoWR,RD&GR
9. Deputy Secretary(E-IV), DoWR,RD&GR

Copy for information:

1. PS to Hon'ble Minister of Jal Shakti
2. PS to Secretary (WR, RD & GR)
3. PS to Additional Secretary (WR,RD&GR)
4. PS to Joint Secretary (RD & PP), DoWR,RD&GR

Government of India
Ministry of Jal Shakti
Department of Water Resources, River Development
and Ganga Rejuvenation

Proceedings of the Second Apex Council Meeting held on 6th October 2020 through Video Conferencing

The second Apex Council Meeting was held on 6th October 2020 through video conferencing, under the Chairmanship of Shri Gajendra Singh Shekhawat, Hon'ble Union Minister for Jal Shakti with Shri Y. S. Jagan Mohan Reddy, Hon'ble Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh (AP) and Shri K. Chandrashekar Rao, Hon'ble Chief Minister of Telangana to discuss and decide on the agenda items already circulated. Senior officers from DoWR, GRMB, KRMB and CWC also attended the meeting. List of participants is enclosed at **Annexure – I**.

2.1 At the outset, the Hon'ble Union Minister for Jal Shakti welcomed the participants. He stated that such meetings on a regular and periodic basis will help to sort out issues between the states in an amicable manner. When he took over as the Minister for Jal Shakti last year, he observed that the 1st Apex Council meeting was held in September 2016, and there had been a considerable gap in holding the next meeting. Therefore, his Ministry sent requests to both states three times last year seeking agenda points for holding the meeting. With no response from both the states, this Ministry has prepared and circulated the agenda points.

2.2 He stated that as no comments were received from any of the States on the Minutes of the First meeting of Apex Council, the same may be treated as confirmed.

2.3 He further stated that as per the AP Reorganization Act-2014 (APRA-2014), the Apex Council is the final authority to deliberate upon all issues regarding water allocations and usage among both the states. He informed that Krishna Water Disputes Tribunal–I (KWDT-I) has allocated 811 TMC to the then combined state of AP. Later on, KWDT-II has given its award, but it is not yet published, since the matter is sub-judice in Supreme Court. Until the KWDT-II award is published, the allocations of KWDT-I will be in force. Also, for projects mentioned in Schedule XI of the APRA-2014, since they do not have water allocations under KWDT-I, efforts should be made to obtain water allocations for them.

2.4 He further stated that APRA-2014 mandated to have Krishna River Management Board (KRMB) and Godavari River Management Board (GRMB) to regulate the projects of both states on the rivers Krishna and Godavari respectively. The Apex Council has to ensure that both the Boards perform their responsibilities as per the mandate. There are many projects taken up by both states in the Godavari and Krishna basins which do not have the mandated statutory clearances like hydrology, investment, inter-state and environment etc. Repeated letters sent by both KRMB and GRMB and also by Ministry of Jal Shakti asking for submission of DPR's of such projects from the states did not yield any results. As far as new projects are concerned, it is mandated by the APRA-2014 that such projects should be technically appraised and cleared by GRMB or KRMB first, and then they should be sanctioned by this Apex Council.

2.5 He further stated that since Krishna basin has many projects but limited water, improving the efficiency of the existing projects would help water re-allocation to new projects. In Godavari basin, all approved projects should be completed first before planning on new projects. He hoped that interlinking of Rivers Godavari and Krishna would help the water deficit areas of both the states. He expressed confidence that the present meeting would be productive and would help in resolving most of the outstanding issues amicably, in the larger interest of the welfare of the people of both the States.

2.6 He further stated that Telangana has sent a few agenda items vide their letter dated 02.10.2020 to be included in this meeting. These were received in the Ministry during the weekend and there was not enough time to seek the opinion of AP on these items and so the same could not be included in the Agenda. He assured that the original agenda covers most of those items and if any items were not covered, there could be deliberated upon after considering the main agenda items.

2.7 He informed that Additional Secretary, DoWR, RD & GR, Ministry of Jal Shakti would make a presentation on the proposed Agenda items. He urged the States to present their views/presentation on all the agenda items after the Ministry's presentation.

3.1 Additional Secretary, DoWR, RD & GR briefly mentioned the functions of the Apex Council, functions of the Boards (KRMB & GRMB) and jurisdiction of the Boards, as mentioned in various sections of the APRA-2014. As per Section 84 of APRA-2014, the functions of Apex Council are (i) to supervise the functioning of KRMB & GRMB, (ii) planning and approval of proposals of new projects on Godavari and Krishna rivers, (iii) resolution of any dispute on sharing of river waters and reference of any disputes not covered under KWDT to a Tribunal to be constituted under the ISRWD Act, 1956. As per section 85(8) of APRA-2014, the functions of the Board are (i) regulation of supply of water from the projects to both the States having regard to awards granted by the Tribunals constituted under the ISRWD Act of 1956, (ii) construction of on-going or new works on Godavari and Krishna rivers and their tributaries through the successor States as the Central Government may specify by notification in the Official Gazette, (iii) making an appraisal of any proposal for construction of new projects on Godavari and Krishna rivers and giving technical clearance after satisfying that such projects do not negatively impact the availability of water as per the awards of the Tribunals constituted under the ISRWD Act of 1956 for the projects already completed or taken up before the appointed day and (iv) such other functions as the Central Government may entrust to it on the basis of the principles specified in the XI Schedule of APRA-2014. As per section 87(1)&(2) of APRA-2014 the Boards shall ordinarily exercise jurisdiction on Godavari and Krishna rivers (i) in regard to any of the projects over headworks (barrages, dams, reservoirs, regulating structures), part of canal network and transmission lines necessary to deliver water or power to the States concerned, as may be notified by the Central Government, having regard to the awards, if any, made by the Tribunals constituted under the ISRWD Act, 1956 and (ii) if any question arises as to whether the Board has jurisdiction under sub-section (i) over any project referred thereto, the same shall be referred to the Central Government for decision thereon.

She made a detailed presentation on the Agenda items listed below and also stated the views of the Ministry of Jal Shakti on each of the items.

1. Decision on the Jurisdiction of GRMB and KRMB.
2. Submission of DPRs of new projects by State Governments to GRMB and KRMB for appraisal and sanction by the Apex Council.
3. Establishing a mechanism for determination of share of Krishna and Godavari waters between the States of AP and Telangana.
4. Shifting of headquarters of KRMB to AP.

3.2 **Decision on the Jurisdiction of GRMB and KRMB:** Additional Secretary informed that regarding jurisdiction of KRMB, in the first meeting of the Apex Council and also in earlier KRMB and GRMB meetings, the stand of Telangana has been that the jurisdiction of KRMB may be notified after the finalization of KWDT-II Award. The stand of AP has been that pending allocations to be made by KWDT-II, jurisdiction of KRMB may be notified. However, in the two meetings this Ministry held with both states in February 2018 and January 2020, the consistent view of the Ministry was that, in the absence of notification of jurisdiction of GRMB and KRMB, the said Boards are not in a position to exercise their powers effectively as per the terms of APRA-2014.

Hence, the Ministry of Jal Shakti, GoI proposes that pending project-wise allocations by KWDT-II, as per section 87(1)&(2) of APRA-2014, jurisdiction of KRMB shall be notified with regard to the award made by KWDT-I. Needed amendment to it can be done with regard to KWDT-II Award, after it gets into force. For the six projects mentioned in para-10 of the XI Schedule of APRA-2014, since these projects do not have allocations under KWDT-I, Ministry suggests that both states shall try to get water allocations to these projects from KWDT-II and then the regulation of supply of water for these will be taken over by the Board accordingly. Also, as per section 87(1)&(2) of APRA-2014, the jurisdiction of GRMB shall be notified by the Central Government covering all the existing and ongoing projects (subject to requisite approvals) on Godavari River in both the States. As far as construction/execution of on-going/new/future projects in both the states by KRMB & GRMB, as per section 85(8)(c) of APRA-2014, the Central Government, after approval of those projects by the Apex Council, will specify by notification in the Official Gazette.

3.3 **Submission of DPRs of new projects by State Governments to GRMB and KRMB for appraisal and sanction by the Apex Council:** It was informed by the Additional Secretary that both the states have taken up new projects without submitting DPRs to KRMB/KRMB or CWC for appraisal, rather they are complaining against each other's projects. AP has taken up fifteen projects on river Krishna and four projects on river Godavari on which Telangana has complained. Likewise, Telangana has taken up eight projects on river Krishna and seven projects on river Godavari on which AP has complained. Apex council was informed that out of these thirty four projects, only two projects of Telangana have hydrology/inter-state clearances and two other projects of Telangana are included in the APRA-2014. The status of statutory clearances obtained from central government for all these projects were shown to the members of the apex council (enclosed at **Annexure – II).** As recently as in March 2020, Telangana started Kaleswaram (3rd TMC component) and

Sitarama LIS on river Godavari for which AP has objected. In May 2020, AP started Rayalaseema LIS and Pothireddypadu expansion on river Krishna for which Telangana has objected. Both KRMB and GRMB have been requesting both the States since long including in recent meetings that were held in June 2020 for submitting DPRs of all these projects. Hon'ble Minister of Jal Shakti had written letters to both the Hon'ble Chief Ministers of Telangana and AP in this regard and also advised not to go ahead with these projects until GRMB/ KRMB have appraised them and the Apex Council has approved them. The members of the apex council were informed that till date no DPR has been submitted by both the States to the Boards for appraisal.

It was also informed that in a meeting held by the Ministry of Jal Shakti, GoI with officers of the States of AP and Telangana in January 2020, Secretary (WR, RD & GR) had clarified about which projects can be termed as new projects as under:

1. Any irrigation project which has not been techno-economically appraised by CWC and accepted by the Technical Advisory Committee of DoWR, RD & GR, should be considered as new project irrespective of the fact whether it was conceived before or after bifurcation of erstwhile AP.
2. All major, medium and multipurpose projects, where there is change in scope such as, change in location of intake points, changes in alignment of canal distribution network, changes in size and geographical location of command area to be served, changes in storage, increase in water utilization, etc., should be treated as new schemes for the purpose of appraisal irrespective of the status of construction of project.
3. In addition to the above mentioned parameters, from the angle of Inter-State issues and hydrology of a project, the projects need to be appraised by the respective Board.
4. Further, appraisal has to be done by CWC also, before placing them before the Apex Council for approval as the Boards do not have the requisite wherewithal in terms of technical expertise.

Thus, the Ministry of Jal Shakti, GoI proposes that as per Sections 84(3)(ii), 85(8)(d) and para-7 of XI Schedule of APRA-2014, the states are bound to submit the DPRs of new projects to KRMB and GRMB for appraisal and subsequent sanction by the Apex Council.

3.4 **Establishing a mechanism for determination of share of Krishna and Godavari waters between the States of AP and Telangana**: Additional Secretary drew the attention of the members of the apex council that as per section 85(8)(a) of APRA-2014, one of the functions of both KRMB & GRMB include regulation of supply of water from the projects to both the States having regard to awards granted by the Tribunals under ISRWD Act of 1956. She informed that sharing of river Krishna waters is being carried out as per working arrangement agreed by both the states as 298.96 TMC to Telangana and 512.04 TMC to AP out of the total 811 TMC allocated by KWDT-I, pending award of KWDT-II. With regards to sharing of Godavari waters, presently, there is no agreement between both the States. Telangana stated earlier that as there are no joint projects between the both the states in Godavari basin, therefore there appears no need for such an exercise. Now that both states complained against each other on the projects in Godavari Basin, there is a need to decide the mechanism for determination of the share of AP and Telangana in the

Godavari waters. She also informed that as per the GWDT report, Telangana is asking for its share of 45 TMC from out of the waters diverted by AP from Godavari River to Krishna River.

Ministry of Jal Shakti, GoI proposes that with regards to sharing of waters of River Krishna, until the Award of KWDT-II is notified, award of KWDT-I shall be in force. The working arrangement as agreed by both the States shall continue. With regards to sharing of Godavari waters, the Ministry proposes that either there be a mutual agreement between both the states or a new Tribunal is set up to decide on this. Also, a mechanism for sharing of the 45 TMC water, diverted by AP from Godavari basin to Krishna basin is necessary.

3.5 **Shifting of headquarters of KRMB to AP:** Additional Secretary informed that as per the provisions of section 85 of APRA-2014, the headquarters of the KRMB shall be located in the successor State of AP.

4. After the presentation by Additional Secretary, Hon'ble Union Minister desired that the four agenda items may be discussed first. If any other item needs to be discussed in the meeting, the same could be taken up as a table item. Recalling that in the first Apex Council meeting, AP was requested to speak first, this time he requested Hon'ble Chief Minister of Telangana to speak first.

5.1 Hon'ble Chief Minister of Telangana expressed his gratitude to Hon'ble Union Minister for giving him the opportunity and requested for the proceedings of the second Apex Council meeting to be recorded. Further, he requested that the minutes may be issued after obtaining the views of both the State Governments. Hon'ble Union Minister informed him that the proceedings are being recorded.

5.2 Hon'ble CM of Telangana commented on the agenda items and recalled that immediately after the formation of the State of Telangana, he had made a representation to the Ministry of Water Resources on 14th July 2014 under Section–3 of ISRWD Act of 1956, asking for an equitable allocation of Krishna waters. He stated that Telangana is the youngest State and every State has a constitutional right to get a fair and equitable allocation of river waters. Telangana has requested to refer the matter to a Tribunal and Government of India should not have any reservation on this. Even after seven years of its formation, Telangana State is still waiting to know about its legitimate share of waters. After one year, they had approached the Supreme Court. He stated that he has mentioned all these in his letter to Hon'ble Minister of Jal Shakti and had urged to include them in the agenda of the meeting. He stated that the present meeting could be postponed and be held after about ten days after obtaining the views of AP on the issues he mentioned in his letter.

5.3 He stated that the working arrangement between both the states is still continuing and until and unless water sharing is finalized by the Tribunal under section-3 of ISRWD Act of 1956, the question of notification of jurisdiction of Boards should not arise. He also stated that the tribunal matter is pending in Supreme Court and is sub-judice, hence on this account also the jurisdiction matter cannot be deliberated.

5.4 He further stated that Godavari Lift Irrigation Scheme (GLIS) project which started 20 years back and on which expenditure to the order of Rs.60000 Crores has been made, and which has even been funded by Government of India, cannot be termed as a new project. He asserted that there are no new projects in Telangana at all. GWDT has allocated water sub-basin wise and accordingly Telangana has taken up their projects based on it. In Kaleshwaram project, right from the beginning, the State had planned for 3 TMC per day and what they have newly taken up is the canal conveyance part. Any apprehension of AP on any project on Godavari waters, they can make a complaint andthat can be referred to a Tribunal. He also stated that as per KWDT-I, water allocation to Srisailam, which is a hydro-electrical project, is only for evaporation losses and for power generation so water can be released to Nagarjunasagar project. He stated that Pothyreddypadu project of AP does not have any water allocations by KWDT-I. The project was operationalized to supply drinking water to Chennai. It was originally designed for 1500 cusecs in the then combined AP against the interests of Telangana. Later on, it was expanded to 11,500 cusecs, then to 40,000 cusecs and now they are expanding it to 84,000 cusecs and are planning to take 8 TMC per day. He further asserted that the water is being transferred by AP from Krishna basin to out of Krishna basin through this project with no water allocations to these projects by the tribunal and without appraisal of DPRs and also without obtaining the required statutory clearances for these projects. He also stated that, if AP continues with this project, then Telangana would construct a 3 TMC project at Alampur and draw waters. He stated that only tribunal under section-3 of ISRWD Act of 1956 can be a solution.

6.1 Hon'ble CM of AP thanked the Union Minister for giving the opportunity to participate in the meeting. He stated that it is a fact that critical and chronically drought prone areas of Rayalaseema i.e. Kurnool, YSR Kadapa, Ananthapuram, Chittoor including Nellore and Prakasam districts are mainly dependent on Srisailam project for their drinking, industrial and irrigation needs just like Nalgonda, Mahabubnagar and Rangareddy district of Telangana are. He stated that that Rayalaseema LIS is not a proposal for drawal of water more than what is allocated to AP and no new storage is planned and also no new ayacut is contemplated. Furthermore, no enhanced utilization is proposed except to supplement the needs of existing and ongoing projects of AP. Hon'ble CM of AP further informed that the Government of Telangana is going ahead with new projects as well as enhancing the capacity of existing projects on Godavari river which will have adverse impact on downstream requirements of lower riparian State of AP. He therefore requested that Apex Council may advise the Government of Telangana to submit DPRs and not to go ahead until appraisal by GRMB and sanction of Apex Council is obtained as all these projects are contemplating additional storage and additional ayacut.

6.2 He stated that KRMB and GRMB are monitoring, regulating/controlling authorities to implement the decisions of the Tribunals only and so the Boards cannot determine the share of river waters between the two States. After bifurcation, AP and Telangana have agreed to utilize 811 TMC of water allocated to erstwhile AP in the ratio of 512 TMC and 299 TMC respectively in June 2015. The primary basis for arriving at this proportional distribution is the utilization considered by KWDT-I. Therefore how and where individual States utilize their share of water should be at the discretion of the individual State, which was also agreed between AP and Telangana in the June 2015 meeting.

6.3 He further requested that Apex Council may intervene in sharing of Godavari waters between the two States by concluding mutual agreements or referring to a Tribunal. He wanted the Apex Council to note that surplus flows of 3000 TMC of Godavari water into the sea is inclusive of 1400 TMC of unutilized allocated water of upper riparian States of Maharashtra, MP, Karnataka, Chhattisgarh and Odisha, which can be any time utilized by them. The Apex Council may intervene in sharing of Godavari waters between the two States by concluding mutual agreements, or referring to the Tribunal.

6.4 He also stated that AP is also of the opinion that for effective supervision and control of the common reservoirs i.e. Srisailam and Nagarjunasagar, notification of jurisdiction of the Board is essential. Therefore for better water regulation and control of common projects, Central Government should notify the jurisdiction of the Board.

6.5 Hon'ble CM of AP further stated that as per Section 85(2) of APRA, 2014, the headquarters of KRMB is to be shifted to the successor State of AP as agreed by the State of Telangana in the meeting of MoHA in 2019. He urged that a decision to this effect may be taken at the earliest by the Council.

7. Hon'ble Chief Minister of Telangana responded that Pothyreddypadu project is catering totally to out of Krishna basin projects, while Nalgonda, Mahabubnagar & Rangareddy districts of Telangana are totally within Krishna basin. He said notifications by Ministry of Jal Shakti in 2016 clearly stipulated that inter basin transfer can only be done after the in-basin requirements are met. As suggested by Hon'ble CM of AP, he too requested to appoint a Tribunal for deciding on sharing of Godavari waters between the two States in a time bound manner. He further added that a request in this regard would be sent by Telangana within a day. Regarding shifting of the headquarters of KRMB to AP, Hon'ble Chief Minister of Telangana said that they never denied shifting of headquarters to AP.

8.1 Hon'ble Union Minister stated that majority of the issues raised by both the CMs are of operation and maintenance of the systems. The waters of the two rivers are shared between the two States as per tribunal awards. It is necessary that the two Boards are empowered to take care of the operational management of projects as mandated under APRA-2014. He stated that Ministry of Jal Shakti preferred to have consensus between the two States keeping in view the long term relationships and long term water sharing related issues between both the states even though the APRA gives exclusive responsibility to the Central Government to notify the jurisdiction of the Boards. Since there is a necessity for independent and empowered Boards and hence the jurisdictions of the Boards need to be notified. He also responded to Hon'ble CM of Telangana that the assistance given by central government under PMKSY program to GLIS was for phase one of this project which has statutory clearances. For the projects which do not have statutory clearances, they need to be obtained and it is for all such projects, the DPRs need to be submitted.

8.2 Regarding the issue of notification under Section-3 of the ISRWD Act of 1956, the Hon'ble Union Minister stated that in 2011, erstwhile AP itself approached the Supreme Court to not publish the Brijesh Kumar Tribunal / KWDT-II. In 2014, after bifurcation, Telangana State has requested to constitute a new Tribunal under

section-3 of ISRWD Act, which is the State's legitimate right. He also stated that in 2014 Telangana asked for a tribunal involving all the Krishna basin states and in 2018, they have asked for a tribunal involving only two states of AP and Telangana. He further stated that if Telangana withdraws the case from Supreme Court, then Government of India would consider the issue of constitution of a Tribunal under section-3 of ISRWD Act positively, after seeking necessary legal opinion since KWDT-II was already functioning and was seized of water issues between the two States. Hon'ble CM of Telangana agreed to this and stated that he would furnish a letter assuring withdrawal of the case in Supreme Court.

8.3 Regarding formulation of Godavari Tribunal, Hon'ble Union Minister stated that based on the reference received under section-3 from both the States, the issue will be considered favorably by the Union Ministry. Regarding the inter-basin transfer, he stated that it can be done only after fulfilling the needs of the in-basin first.

8.4 Regarding the new projects, he stated that appraisal, technical clearances and environmental clearances have to be obtained by both the States. The States cannot proceed as per their wish in a federal set-up, where the Rule of Law prevails. A bad precedence cannot be set by commissioning projects without obtaining clearances. The DPRs of projects should be appraised by KRMB and GRMB or CWC. He stated that he would ensure that the DPRs are appraised as quickly as possible by the concerned organizations. He also stated that same rules would apply to both the states in both the basins. Hon'ble CM of AP stated that he has no objection to submitting the DPRs to the Boards. Hon'ble CM of Telangana stated that there is no secrecy in the projects taken up by his state and he too is ready to submit the DPRs.

9.1 Concluding the meeting, Hon'ble Minister of Jal Shakti summarized the decisions taken after detailed deliberations:

1. Jurisdiction of GRMB and KRMB shall be notified by Government of India. The Hon'ble CM of Telangana expressed his disagreement on this. Hon'ble Union Minister clarified that it is the Central Government's prerogative for such notification as per the APRA-2014 and thus the same will be notified as per the express mandate of the APRA-2014.
2. For considering the request of Telangana for a tribunal under section-3 of the ISRWD Act of 1956, Telangana has to withdraw the case it has filed in the Supreme Court on the subject. After receiving such assurance, Ministry of Jal Shakti, GoI will seek legal opinion on whether a new Tribunal has to be appointed or new Terms of Reference can be issued to KWDT-II to hear the matter. Hon'ble CM of Telangana agreed to withdraw the case in Supreme Court.
3. Both the States shall submit the DPRs of new projects to the Boards immediately for appraisal and subsequent sanction by Apex Council. Hon'ble Union Minister assured that the appraisal would be done in the shortest possible time. Both Hon'ble Chief Ministers agreed to submit the DPRs.
4. As agreed by both the States, the Headquarters of KRMB will be shifted to AP.
5. Both the States agreed for setting up of a Godavari Tribunal for adjudicating on the sharing of the waters of Godavari between AP and Telangana. Hon'ble Union Minister requested both the States to send their proposal for the same.

He assured that the Ministry will take a positive decision in this regard. Hon'ble CM of Telangana stated that he would send the request immediately for constituting a Tribunal.

9.2 In his concluding remarks, the Hon'ble Union Minister appreciated both the Hon'ble Chief Ministers for their commitment towards amicably resolving the issues in the larger interest of the people of the region. Hon'ble Union Minister proposed that henceforth the Apex Council meeting can be held atleast once a year to deliberate and resolve issues regularly. The proposal was agreed upon by both the Chief Ministers.

9.3 Hon'ble Union Minister thanked both the Chief Ministers for their participation, cooperation and contribution in this productive meeting.

******

Annexure-I

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS OF THE SECOND MEETING OF APEX COUNCIL HELD ON 06.10.2020 VIA VIDEO-CONFERENCE

CHAIRPERSON & MEMBERS OF THE APEX COUNCIL

1. Shri Gajendra Singh Shekhawat, Hon'ble Union Minister for Jal Shakti – in Chair
2. Shri Y. S. Jaganmohan Reddy- Hon'ble Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh – Member
3. Shri K. Chandrashekar Rao, Hon'ble Chief minister of Telangana – Member

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, RD & GR

4. Shri U. P. Singh, Secretary (WR, RD & GR)
5. Shri Sriram Vedire, Advisor to Union Minister for Jal Shakti
6. Ms. Debashree Mukherjee, Additional Secretary(WR, RD & GR)
7. Shri Sanjay Awasthi, Joint Secretary (RD & PP)
8. Shri Subrata K.Basu, Deputy Secretary (E-IV)

CENTRAL WATER COMMISSION

9. Shri R. K. Jain, Chairman, CWC
10. Shri S. K. Haldar, Member (WP&P), CWC

GODAVARI RIVER MANAGEMENT BOARD

11. Shri J. Chandrashekhar Iyer, Chairman, GRMB

KRISHNA RIVER MANAGEMENT BOARD

12. Shri A. Paramesham, Chairman, KRMB

ANNEXURE-II

Sl. No.	State	Basin	Name of Project	Whether firm water allocated in Bachawat / GWDT Award (YES/NO)	Whether included in AP Reorganisation Act 2014 (YES/NO)	Whether Inter State aspects cleared by CWC (YES/NO)	Whether approved by the respective RMB (YES/NO)	Whether approved by Apex Council (YES/NO)	Basis of water allocation (Net availibility / Flood waters)
1	Andhra Pradesh	Godavari	Pattiseema LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	Flood Water
2	Andhra Pradesh	Godavari	Purushothapatnam LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	Flood Water
3	Andhra Pradesh	Godavari	Chintalpudi LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	Flood Water
4	Andhra Pradesh	Godavari	Inter-Linking of Godavari and Pennar through Chintalpudi LIS and Pattiseema LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
5	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Muchumarri LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
6	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Gundrevula Reservoir	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
7	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	LIS for supplementation of Gajuladinne ayacut	NO (For Gajuladinne: 2.0 TMC)	NO	NO	NO	NO	
8	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Guru-Raghavendra LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
9	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Pulikanuma LIS Scheme	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
10	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Siddapuram LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
11	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Sivabhasyam LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
12	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Munneru Scheme (LIS)	NO (Foy Muniyeru Project: 3.3 TMC)	NO	NO	NO	NO	
13	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Capacity enhancement of RDS Right Canal (Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme)	NO (For For original RD Scheme: 15.90 TMC)	NO	NO	NO	NO	
14	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	New LIS on Tungabhadra River in between RDS and Sunkesula Barrage	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
15	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Capacity enhancement of Vaikuntapuram Barrage on River Krishna upstream of Prakasam Barrage	NO (For Vakuntapuram Pumping Scheme: 2.60 TMC)	NO	NO	NO	NO	
16	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Interlinking of Godavari and Pennar River in Phase-I from Harishchandrapuram(V) to Nekarikallu(V) in Guntur District	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
17	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Vedavathi (Hagari) River LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
18	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Naguladinne LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	

19	Andhra Pradesh	Krishna	Rayalaseema Lift Scheme and upgradation of PRPHR and Banakacharla (BCR) complex to 80,000 cusecs.	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
20	Telangana	Godavari	Kaleshwaram LIS	NO	NO	Yes	No	No	Net Availability
			Kaleshwaram enhancement - 3rd TMC component	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	Proposal not Receive
21	Telangana	Godavari	GLIS Phase-III (J. Chokha Rao)	NO	NO	Yes	No	No	
22	Telangana	Godavari	Sita Rama LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	Net Availability
23	Telangana	Godavari	Tupakulagudem	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
24	Telangana	Godavari	Telangana Drinking Water Supply Project	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
	Telangana	Godavari	Barrages on Lower Penganga River	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
25			(i) Rajupet (Bhimkund)	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
			(ii) Rudha (Chanakha-Korta)	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
			(iii) Pimparad-Parsoda	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
26	Telangana	Godavari	Ramapa lake to Pakhal lake diversion	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
27	Telangana	Krishna	Palamuru Ranga Reddy LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	Flood Waters: 90 TMC
28	Telangana	Krishna	Dindi(Nakkalagandi)LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	Flood Waters: 30 TMC
29	Telangana	Krishna	Bhakta Ramadasu LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	5.5 TMC
30	Telangana	Krishna	Thumilla LIS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	5.44 TMC
31	Telangana	Krishna	Water Grid Project	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	19.6 TMC
	Enhancement of scope of the following three projects								
32	Telangana	Krishna	(i) Mahatma Gandhi Kalwakurthi LIS with an additional utilization of 15 TMC	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	Revised to lift 40.0 TMC from original 25.0 TMC
33	Telangana	Krishna	(ii) Nettempadu LIS with an additional utilization of 3.4 TMC	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	Revised to lift 25.425 TMC from original 21.425 TMC
34	Telangana	Krishna	(iii) Srisailam Left Bank Canal (SLBC) with an additional utilization of 10 TMC	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	30 TMC of 75% dependable water out of 45 TMC of Godavari water diverted to Krishna Delta system